Post-Merger Integration: The Board’s Role Begins Before the Ink is Dry
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Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are occurring throughout health care, with transactions happening among entities of all provider types and sizes. (According to the latest analysis by Kaufman, Hall & Associates, LLC, 49 transactions were announced in the first half of 2015, up from 43 transactions in the first half of 2014.)

A priority objective for hospital partnerships is to build the competencies required to manage population health under new value-oriented care and payment models required by consumers, employers, and government and private payers. Needed competencies and infrastructure include network development, clinical alignment, quality, information technology, and brand recognition.

Integration can take numerous paths, but the realization of the partnership’s expected benefits – establishing and strengthening essential competencies – is of paramount importance. The board’s role in facilitating/ensuring that the partnership achieves its anticipated benefits is critical. The discussion below identifies some key questions board members should answer individually and collectively to help the organization clarify and achieve the integration’s intended goals.

The Board’s Role with Strategic Partnerships

Boards, among their varied roles in helping lead an organization, play a critical role in identifying the need for partnership, evaluating and selecting a partner, and ensuring the partnership realizes its strategic objectives. Directors need to be active participants from the earliest discussions about partnerships through to the transaction and transition processes. Their fiduciary duties include the duty of care to exercise an informed business judgment when evaluating partnership proposals, duty of obedience to ensure that partnership proposals will further the organization’s mission, and duty of loyalty to avoid conflicts of interest.

Based on the questions they ask, high-performing boards can ensure that the organizations they direct meet partnership goals and objectives, including development and execution of effective integration plans. The ten questions below apply to all types of partnership arrangements.

1. How do we define partnership/integration success?

This is the key question that focuses on what each organization can bring to and accomplish through the partnership. Given that the criteria for successful partnerships exhibit the following characteristics, board members should focus on important questions about how to achieve each (see table on page 4).
成功的案例通常通过在“统合”中实现更高的清晰度，围绕管理和治理责任，并明确后事的预期表现，通过沟通达成了一项详细的实施计划。理想情况下，该计划应在交易过程开始时制定，以支持董事会的决定。确保团队能尽早地进行工作。

早期的成功是通过利用组织的领导者作为合作伙伴的关键计划而实现的。这些计划涉及在不同的整合工作流程中对话。随着整合的进行，整合的组织需要对承诺的资本和资源的实现进行表述。有历史的成功的合作伙伴能够学习和应用整合目标，每一个目标都具有更高的清晰度。

2. 如何评估我们组织的整合和合作伙伴的准备性？

整合/合作伙伴关系是若干因素的函数，包括一个共同的、有共识的愿景、文化兼容性、治理效率、明确的决策制定、整合领导、问责、沟通、承诺和治理结构。

使用整合评估工具（例如图表1），董事会可以与管理层一起评估准备性，通过多个维度，这些维度可以在交易关闭之前确定。

例如，问责定义为“我们已经了解了领导层的决策制定，包括定义的治理、公司治理、和管理结构。

能力和意愿在决策中，包括定义的治理、公司治理、和管理结构。

文档化的、可实现的价值声明和业务计划在交易关闭之前。

文化兼容性、选民支持，以及隐含的信任。

区域的地理位置，使更广泛的网络发展。

雇主和支付方的支持。

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>欲达目标</th>
<th>问题</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>共同愿景和目标的对齐</td>
<td>我们/我们的合作伙伴定义的愿景和目标有何不同？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>合理的领导层（董事会和管理层）</td>
<td>我们对领导层的评估，以及各自部门的评估？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>文化兼容，选民支持，以及隐含的信任</td>
<td>文化兼容性，选民支持，以及隐含的信任，以及我们和合作伙伴是否存在？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>文档化的、可实现的价值声明和业务计划在交易关闭之前</td>
<td>合作伙伴的价值声明和事实上的业务计划对齐？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>能力和意愿在决策中，包括定义的治理、公司治理、和管理结构</td>
<td>我们的领导层结构的目标？决策将如何在结构中进行？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地理位置，使更广泛的网络发展</td>
<td>我们的目标是什么？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>雇主和支付方支持</td>
<td>我们可以期待从雇主和支付方的哪些支持？</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. 如何协调我们整合/合作伙伴关系的整合过程以确保高概率的成功？

医院管理团队和治理团队通常认为，合作伙伴关系通过一系列步骤来实现，这些步骤包括交易活动（例如，寻找合作伙伴，执行尽职调查，以及执行协议），以及由第二组的步骤组成的整合活动（例如，整合规划和执行）。当这种传统的参与合作和整合过程被应用时，它可能会被当前的领导所驱动，并可能主要侧重于速度和合规性。当这种情况发生时，合作伙伴目标的实现可能性将低于整合过程中整合目标的实现。

在有效的整合过程中，交易和整合活动是重叠的，而不是连续的。图表2展示了推荐的合作伙伴关系生命周期，其中整合工作流程通常会同时进行并涉及：

- 策略规划，签署信
- 交易开发和执行，通过最终协议
- 详细整合规划和执行，从意向书
through complete execution of integration plans.

This integrated approach is vision-driven and sponsored by leadership, but accomplished collaboratively within and across the organizations. It emphasizes buy-in while attending to compliance, grows new leaders, and is sustainable over time, with results that last.

The integration framework, described next, is established before the transaction is complete, with detailed integration planning occurring collaboratively and at the right time with both organizations, as legally permitted.

4. How do we create a functional integration structure and ensure accountability?

Transaction and transition management commonly occur through two independent work streams, often involving two separate teams working simultaneously. However, interaction between teams conducting the work is absolutely critical, and is the key function of a Project Management Office (PMO). The PMO is responsible for coordinated work plan and business plan development, regular progress tracking and reporting, communication planning, and risk and issue management.

Exhibit 3 on page 6 provides an overall integration structure for two partnering organizations. A Joint Steering Committee, comprised of members of each organization’s leadership team and board, oversees the work of an Integration Committee. This Committee also has members from both organizations, who typically are the heads of each Functional Integration Team. The Committee oversees the Functional Integration Teams, which are comprised of operating staff (for example, nursing, finance, information technology (IT)). The PMO acts as “air traffic control,” providing a dedicated resource to managing the two tracks of the integration process—i.e., Due Diligence Committees, which are responsible for the transaction work stream, and Functional Integration Teams, which are responsible for the transition work stream.

Characteristics of highly effective integration teams and committees include: defined roles/responsibilities; documented accountabilities, goals, and outcomes; designated leaders; clearly defined decision-making processes; involvement of key constituents and stakeholders; uniform support from senior leadership; and dedicated leadership resources.

Sub-teams may be needed for specific subject matter expertise and to facilitate change at the operating level. In general, the more people involved in integration teams, the better the integration process. Functional teams must communicate with each other, discussing and solving issues and challenges that span their functions.
5. How do we best communicate the vision and integration progress to key stakeholders inside and outside the organization?

Leadership’s development and communication of a clear, consistent, shared vision for the partnership is essential. As soon as the prospective partnership is announced at the Letter of Intent stage, constituents inside and outside the organization will want to know how the arrangement will affect them. Deliberate communication, supported by dedicated professionals, is vital to attainment of transition and integration goals. An overall communication plan that includes constituent-specific components where appropriate should detail the frequency, mode, methods, ownership, and effectiveness metrics related to the communication strategy.

When empowered by clear communication from the management team, board members can keep their “ears to the ground,” providing constituents with context, support, and confidence in management’s work. The ability to manage expectations both internally and externally is important. This can be aided by using a simple graphic, like Exhibit 4, that identifies integration stages, key milestones toward which teams will be working, and estimated timing.

Clarity is particularly essential around timing and milestones—full realization of integration objectives takes time. Timeframes in Exhibit 4 are indicative of and dependent on the scope and activities involved in the sample integration effort depicted. Organizations cannot over-communicate about the integration.

6. What transition planning considerations do we need to address?

Using the stated integration vision and objectives as a guide, team-based transition planning should occur for each functional area of the organization, including: the medical staff, nursing, human resources, clinical operations, quality, legal and compliance, IT, finance, operations, and communications and marketing (Exhibit 5 on page 7). The PMO ensures the progress of such planning.

Critical questions need to be answered in each area. For example, the issue of how to handle existing contracts with physicians, vendors, maintenance services, and others is a key operational consideration. Will contracts be signed, eliminated, rolled over, merged, or renegotiated? All contracts need to be identified, tracked, and managed as defined and approved in the integration plan. Additionally, issues of reporting relationships, employee benefits, technology integration, and much more should be considered as part of the team-based transition planning process.

Boards typically ask more questions as the integration plan is executed. Specific directors might have experience and skill sets in areas such as finance, operations, IT, and human resources that could be useful in guiding the integration process. The fine line between oversight and “getting too far into the weeds” is predicated on the relationship the board has with the management team. All parties should be sensitive to this distinction. The PMO can play a key role in keeping board and management informed about progress in planning the functional elements of the integration.

7. How do we address the best interests of our employees?

Managing an organization’s human capital can be one of the biggest challenges of an integration effort. Boards should ensure that leadership teams answer...
big-picture questions such as: How will employees transition? Will they become employees of the new organization? Will they remain where they are? How might compensation and benefits change?

More detailed questions also require answers. What will happen to employee paychecks? If the transaction is finalized in the middle of a pay period, how will employees be paid? What changes might occur over time? These issues must be addressed by the appropriate Functional Integration Team.

As two organizations come together, matching resources to the demand for them takes time.

Being as transparent as possible by sharing what is known and acknowledging what is unknown at each point can be a good approach. Communication around employee issues should focus on the guiding principles, partnership objectives, and what’s good for the community long-term.

8. How do we navigate reshaping management and governance of the integrated organization?

Management and governance structures will vary based on the partnership’s contractual arrangements. New strategic partnerships have differing degrees of contractual integration, ranging from loose affiliations to full acquisitions and mergers, which may involve a change of corporate member when both organizations are not-for-profit.

The leadership models of many hospitals and health systems are characterized by separate governing and internal management boards for sites, regions, or facilities. Through partnerships and other efforts, hospitals often move away from a hospital- and site-centric model to service- and system-centric models. In an era of population health management (PHM), the desired models are likely to be those that maximize the functioning of the system or organization as a whole.

Executive management and/or board skill sets required to effectively guide the hospital or health system through the partnership integration period and into a PHM-focused delivery system include new elements. Added skill sets are the ability to attract and retain clinician leadership; network development; technology; clinical, business and consumer/patient intelligence; and risk management.

Reshaping leadership/governance teams as needed should focus on what is good for the community. Left unattended, issues of management and governance structure can interfere with maximizing the value of integration. Some leaders will play unique roles during the transition process, such as leading integration teams or committees.

9. How do we measure integration progress and success?

Dashboards generated by the PMO should be used at the executive and board level to provide an at-a-glance look at progress with integration transition and transition work streams. Spotlight charts for major tasks draw focus to areas that need attention—indicated by items with red circles (Exhibit 6). Boards should expect regular reporting that identifies high-level risks and progress.

Synergy-tracking reports and work plans help leadership teams “inspect
for what is expected,” step by step. For example, a synergy confirmation report identifies low/medium/high-dollar savings opportunities for supply chain, finance, and administrative costs, and progress toward achieving such savings. The report also identifies the total expected cost to implement reduction strategies and costs incurred to date.

Issue logs identify for boards what management is tracking and resolving. Issues are defined as those things that have happened or are about to happen that will impact the work plan, such as “determine local versus regional management structure.”

Risk logs keep boards apprised of significant threats, for example, the inability to operate IT infrastructure on day one of the partnership or a delay in physician credentialing. Integration Team meetings address issues; similarly, meetings of the Joint Steering Committee address risk. Both issues and risk are tracked until resolved, but never removed from the tracking report for management reporting and improvement purposes.

10. How will we achieve transformative change through high-performing partnership integration?

Leading transformational change through new partnership arrangements is not about the transactions or synergies at a point in time; it is about managing change and expectations over time. Organizations don’t change; people do—one at a time. Leaders who recognize this build adequate time (i.e., multi-year periods) into the transformation process to accommodate change.

Boards and management teams must keep their eyes on many balls simultaneously. Transaction and transition work streams should be accomplished concurrently both before and after closing (Exhibit 7). A comprehensive effort is challenging, but balancing focus on the big picture and operational details is imperative to achieving transformational change. Focus on one quadrant at a time is not likely to result in identifying and mitigating risks and issues as early as possible or in achieving the integration’s expected goals and value.

As organizations enter into partnerships to enhance needed competencies and accelerate their ability to manage the health of populations, their boards and management teams must be clear about what they can accomplish and when. Progress toward comprehensive transformation involves more than near-term cost reduction integration efforts. “Harder” and “hardest” initiatives should be pursued through business restructuring to optimize service delivery planning and a portfolio of service offerings, and clinical restructuring to redesign care processes, integrate physicians, and right-size and right-place services within a delivery network. Exhibit 8, a Framework for Transformative Partnership Arrangements, can guide board/management efforts and frame synergy expectations.

Board members who ask and answer the 10 questions highlighted here are helping to accelerate their organization’s progress toward achieving a high-performance partnership integration. Make sure your board is involved before the ink dries.